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**INTRODUCTION**

Simultaneous recordings from multiple separate electrodes in close proximity (<100 µm) are an extremely versatile experimental configuration. In the past this approach has been used to record from multiple sites of a single neuron\(^1\), multiple neurons in close proximity\(^2\) and to correlate intracellular activity with extracellular polytrode recordings\(^3\), amongst others. By far the majority of studies using this configuration have been performed in slice preparations. In contrast, in vivo use of simultaneous recordings from multiple closely spaced sites has remained elusive with only a handful of research groups performing such experiments\(^4,5\). The reason for this is the disproportionate increase in difficulty of bringing the undamaged electrodes into close proximity to each other when switching from in vitro to in vivo settings. While it is easily possible to visualise pipette positions in slices using brightfield microscopy, this is not an option in the intact brain. There are two approaches to circumventing this problem: The first is to use a two-photon imaging system to visualise the electrodes in deeper tissue. This method has been used to perform whole-cell recordings from up to four cells simultaneously\(^6\), but is expensive, limited to depths of 1 mm at best and requires that the electrodes fluoresce. The second approach is to combine computer controllable motorised micromanipulators with trigonometric calculations of the electrode positions in the tissue. This method is cost efficient and not limited to the most superficial brain layers, but in practice, it has rarely been used, as it has been difficult to implement reliably. In this technical note, we present a recent advance in the latter approach\(^6\). We present this straightforward algorithm to achieve blind ‘paired recordings’ in a reliable manner using Scientifica micromanipulators.

**IMPLEMENTATION**

The required components are listed below. The specific models used for this application note are listed in italics.

**Hardware**

- Two motorised manipulators (*Scientifica IVM and PatchStar*).
- Upright microscope with a large working distance and oblique illumination for visualising the electrode positions above the brain surface (*custom built*).

**Software**

- Software for calibration and control of manipulators, video monitoring and voltage recording (*custom software implemented using Bonsai*).

**DESCRIPTION**

The manipulators were positioned on each side of the stereotactic frame so that they were exactly opposite each other (see Figure 1A). Next, the two electrode axes were set to the respective desired angles: The juxtacellular electrode (mounted on the PatchStar) was set to 61° from horizontal, while the polytrode (on the IVM) was set to -48.2° from horizontal. The PatchStar allows the ‘approach’ angle of the electrode to be freely adjusted, combining the movement of the X- and Z-axes to move in a straight diagonal line along the set angle of the electrode holder. The IVM does not and thus needs to be tilted around the Y-axis as a whole.

To allow software calibration and precise electrode positioning the manipulators have to be perfectly parallel (Figure 1B). To achieve this, the manipulators are mechanically aligned using a machinist’s dial. In the first step, the PatchStar was squared to the stereotactic frame. Once achieved, the IVM was aligned to ensure it was square to the frame and thus also parallel to the PatchStar.

Next, the two manipulators need to be brought into a common coordinate system in order for both electrodes to be moved in unambiguous reference to each other. As both are set up for different approach angles, these angles need to be taken into consideration. To achieve this, a coordinate transformation must be applied to one of them (in our case the IVM). Instead of calculating this transform using basic trigonometry, a transformation matrix (or ‘look up table’) is determined by direct measurement. A long working distance microscope was set up to image electrode positions in the XZ and/or XY planes (Figure 1B, C) and the coordinates were set to zero (X=0, Y=0, Z=0). Next, the juxtacellular electrode (PatchStar) was moved to a point in the space, and
the microscope was moved and refocused to re-centre the tip of the pipette in the crosshair. Then the extracellular electrode (IVM) was moved to the same point. This process was repeated for several different locations (n = 15) that spanned a large volume (5000 x 5000 x 5000 µm, in 1000 µm steps) and the coordinates for both electrodes were recorded. The resulting pairing at each point was then used to generate the transformation matrix. This calibration procedure, as well as the coordinate transformation, was implemented in Bonsai, a free open-source visual programming environment (https://bitbucket.org/horizongir/bonsai; Reference 7).

Following the mechanical alignment and software calibration, the error in the distance estimation can be reduced to the level of 10.5 ± 5.2 µm, roughly the size of a neuronal cell body.

**RUNNING AN EXPERIMENT**

At the start of a recording, the two electrodes are sequentially positioned at the centre of the microscope image and this position is set to ‘zero’ for each electrode. This registration should be performed directly above the intended recording location and as close as possible to the dura. While a microelectrode has a clear origin point (the tip), on a polytrode, any point can be selected as origin, simply by positioning that point in the centre of the image for zeroing. After setting the origins of both electrodes, the microscope is no longer required for positioning.

From this point on, the electrodes can be positioned relative to each other, within the precision achieved in the initial alignment and calibration steps. If visually guided insertion of the electrodes is desired, the microscope can now be replaced by a macro-zoom lens.

After ‘zeroing’, each electrode is retracted and positioned so that it can be advanced into the final position using mainly movement along the electrode axis (Figure 1D). This reduces the amount of damage to the tissue.

Ideally, the larger of the two electrodes (in our experiments the polytrode) is inserted into the brain and brought to the final recording position first. This helps avoid movement of the tissue after positioning the first electrode.
CONCLUSIONS

In this technical note, we have briefly presented a straightforward approach to achieving blind paired recordings in vivo. A detailed discussion along with videos of the process can be found in Reference 6.
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